

## Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 01909 081827Z

47

ACTION SS-25

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 SSO-00 NSCE-00 /026 W

----- 041460

O 081755Z APR 75 ZFF-4

FM USMISSION NATO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 1072

S E C R E T USNATO 1909

EXDIS

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PFOR, NATO

SUBJ: PROPOSED HEADS OF GOVERNMENTS MEETING IN BRUSSELS, MAY  
29 AND 30

1. AT THE PERMREPS LUNCHEON HELD TODAY (APRIL 8) AT NETHERLANDS  
AMBASSADOR'S HOUSE, BRITISH AMBASSADOR PECK RAISED THE QUESTION  
OF A SUMMIT CONFERENCE. HE SAID THE LEAK ON THE SUBJECT HAD  
COME FROM THE UNITED STATES REPORTING AN ALLEGED LETTER FROM FOREIGN  
MINISTER CALLAGHAN TO SECRETARY KISSINGER. ACCORDING TO HIM,  
NO DEFINITE DATES WERE MENTIONED. HE HAD NOT SEEN THE LETTER  
HIMSELF.

2. DE ROSE COMMENTED THAT HIS AUTHORITIES WOULD CERTAINLY BE  
AGAINST ANY SUMMIT MEETING WHICH MIGHT BE REPRESENTED AS CONNECTED  
WITH A LATER RATIFICATION OF THE CSCE. THE GREEK AMBASSADOR ASKED  
WHAT THE HEADS OF GOVERNMENTS WOULD TALK ABOUT. THE NORWEGIAN  
GAVE AS HIS PERSONAL OPINION THE BELIEF THAT HIS AUTHORITIES WOULD  
AGREE TO SUCH A MEETING. THE GERMAN AMBASSADOR SAID  
HIS GOVERNMENT WOULD FAVOR SUCH A SUMMIT BUT THAT IT SHOULD  
BE UNCONNECTED WITH CSCE. THE DUTCH AMBASSADOR THOUGHT  
THE FOREIGN MINISTERS SHOULD GATHER ON MAY 29 AND MAY 30,  
BUT THE HEADS OF GOVERNMENTS SHOULD CONVENE JUST BEFORE  
HELSINKI OR SOON AFTERWARDS.

3. THE SYG PRONOUNCED HIMSELF STRONGLY IN FAVOR OF A SUMMIT  
MEETING COINCIDENT WITH THE FOREIGN MINISTERS DATES OF  
MAY 29 AND 30. LUXEMBOURG FAVORED SUCH A MEETING. THE  
SECRET

PAGE 02 NATO 01909 081827Z

TURKISH AMBASSADOR GAVE AS A PERSONAL OPINION HIS BELIEF  
HIS AUTHORITIES WOULD APPROVE. LATER ON AFTER OTHER SUBJECTS  
HAD BEEN DISCUSSED, QUESTIONS WERE RAISED AGAIN ABOUT THE  
SUMMIT. DESTAERCCKE, AS HE HAD DONE IN THE BEGINNING, STRONGLY

SUPPORTED IT, AND SAID HE WAS SURE THAT HIS GOVERNMENT WOULD, IF REQUESTED ACT AS HOST COUNTRY.

4. THE SYG, DEROSE, AND BRUCE SUMMARIZED THE EXPRESSIONS MADE ON A PERSONAL BASIS BY MOST OF THE MEMBERS PRESENT AS FOLLOWS:

A. ANY SUCH MEETING SHOULD BE COMPLETELY DIVORCED, AS FAR AS PUBLICITY, PRIVATE OR OFFICIAL STATEMENTS WERE MADE, FROM ANY CONNECTION WITH THE CSCE.

B. THE PURPOSE OF THE HEADS OF GOVERNMENTS COMING TOGETHER WOULD BE TO DISCUSS MATTERS OF INTEREST TO THE NATO ALLIANCE.

C. A MAJORITY OF THOSE PRESENT SEEMD TO FAVOR THE PRINCIPLE THAT IT WOULD BE GOOD FOR NATO AS A DEMONSTRATION OF SOLIDARITY AND THOUGHT THE DATES APPROPRIATE. THE PERMREPS, HOWEVER, WOULD HAVE TO CONSULT THEIR OWN GOVERNMENTS, AND THE SECRETARY GENERAL SHOULD BE INFORMED OF THE RESULTS NOT LATER THAN A WEEK FROM TODAY.

D. BRUCE MADE A RATHER LENGTHY ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF THIS PROJECT.

E. ALL THE VIEWS EXPRESSED WERE ON A PERSONAL BASIS.

5. SOME OF THOSE PRESENT ASKED WHETHER THE BRITISH AMBASSADOR WOULD SEEK PERMISSION FROM HIS GOVERNMENT TO SHOW THEM CALLAGHAN'S LETTER TO SECRETARY KISSINGER OR AT LEAST EXCERPTS FROM IT.

6. THERE WAS NO DISCUSSION AS TO WHO WOULD SPONSOR THE CALLING OF A MEETING. DE ROSE FELT THAT THE BRITISH OR AMERICAN GOVERNMENTS MIGHT DO SO, BUT ON NO ACCOUNT SHOULD INVITATIONS BE ISSUED BY NATO.

7. COMMENT: MY IMPRESSION IS THAT THE CANDIANS ARE LIKELY TO BE THE ONLY DISSSENTERS, AND TO OVERCOME THEIR OBJECTIONS TRUDEAU WILL HAVE TO BE CONVERTED. THE DUTCH OBJECTIONS, BECAUSE OF THE DOMESTIC POLITICAL SITUATION IN HOLLAND, CAN PROBABLY SUCCESSFULLY BE HANDLED BY THE SYG WHO IS ENTHUSIASTIC  
SECRET

PAGE 03 NATO 01909 081827Z

ABOUT THE PROPOSAL.

8. AFTER LUNCH DE ROSE TOLD ME PRIVATELY THAT HE THOUGHT HIS GOVERNMENT WOULD AGREE TO A MEETING ON THE DATES SUGGESTED, BUT GISCARD MIGHT NOT ATTEND, IN WHICH CASE HIS PRIME MINISTER PROBABLY WOULD.BRUCE

SECRET

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** Z  
**Capture Date:** 18 AUG 1999  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** n/a  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 08 APR 1975  
**Decaption Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Decaption Note:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** MartinML  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1975NATO01909  
**Document Source:** ADS  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** 11652 GDS  
**Errors:** n/a  
**Film Number:** n/a  
**From:** NATO  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1975/newtext/t197504101/abbrzjft.tel  
**Line Count:** 104  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE  
**Office:** n/a  
**Original Classification:** SECRET  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** EXDIS  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 2  
**Previous Channel Indicators:**  
**Previous Classification:** SECRET  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** EXDIS  
**Reference:** n/a  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** MartinML  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 17 APR 2003  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <17 APR 2003 by BoyleJA>; APPROVED <17 SEP 2003 by MartinML>  
**Review Markings:**

Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
05 JUL 2006

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** PROPOSED HEADS OF GOVERNMENTS MEETING IN BRUSSELS, MAY 29 AND 30  
**TAGS:** PFOR, NATO  
**To:** STATE  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006